	Suggested change	Detail	Commission's response	Development Priority level †	Rule change required
1	Automatic refresh of contact details	Participants would like the ability to do a one off load of contact details which would override existing contact information	The Commission supports this suggestion.	2	N
2	Additional search criteria	Participants would like to be able to search the Commission's proposed system (System), or exclude the following search criteria: own contracts; contracts with clauses; disputed contracts; contracts transacted on Energy hedge	The Commission supports this change.	1	N
3	Bulk upload of contracts	Only one confirmation email would be generated for submitters, but many verification notice emails would be generated for the other party	The Commission supports this suggestion.	1	N
4	Bulk upload of updates	Submitters would be able to load a mass update should changes to more than one contract be required	The Commission thinks that the need for this change will depend on the number of updates required. At this point the Commission is unsure of the need for mass updates, but is happy to make this change at a later date should it prove necessary.	3	N
5	Energyhedge contracts	Data upload from Energyhedge to the System to remove the need for verification in both systems	The Commission has received information on the number of Energyhedge trades and agrees that, where appropriate, the software should provide for easy verification of these trades. However, the Commission would prefer to achieve this by providing an efficient verification mechanism for	1	N

† 1 = Almost certain to be incorporated into the System prior to the new rules come into force, 2 = Good idea, but less likely to be incorporated prior to the new rules come into force, 3 = To be considered for future enhancement after the System go-live and the new rules have come into effect, 4 = Not being progressed

	Suggested change	Detail	Commission's response	Development Priority level †	Rule change required
			all trade types, rather than specific rule amendments for Energyhedge trades. To this end, M-co is developing ways in which multiple deals can be verified at the same time and verification details can be downloaded so comparisons with participants' own systems can be done efficiently offline. The specifics of this change will be tested with participants at the second workshop.		
6	Deletion of records	Ability to remove record if incorrect information has been submitted or duplicate files submitted.	After further consideration, the Commission thinks that deletion of records would be problematic, especially once a trade has been verified or not disputed. The Commission and M-co are working through how this might be practically implemented for records that have neither been verified nor not disputed, and will provide further information during the second workshop. One way would be to introduce confirmation screens or messages before records are loaded. The Commission would advise parties entering records to be watchful when entering records.	4	N
7	Ability for non-participants to opt out of receiving verification emails		While the Commission supports this change, implementing this could be problematic, as another list or register would need to be maintained for those non-participants not wishing to receive verification emails. The Commission wants to keep the System simple and robust, without introducing increased complexity. The Commission suggests that in the verification emails, it is made clear to non-participants that	4	N

	Suggested change	Detail	Commission's response	Development Priority level †	Rule change required
			verification is absolutely voluntary.		
8	Maintenance of contact database	Need ability to edit contact database	The Commission supports this change, but adds that parties will be responsible for maintenance.	1	N
9	Full download from search results	To include date/time the data was uploaded, status	The Commission supports this change	1	N
10	Status to be shown (disputed, not verified etc) on view and edit screens		The Commission supports this change.	1	N
11	Notes field	To enable Participants to make notes about their contracts, including putting in unique identifiers from their own systems	The Commission supports this change, but after discussion with M-co, considers that separate seller and buyer identifier fields are more appropriate (see item no. 12 below). With the identifier fields, the Commission does not consider an additional notes field would be required, ie such notes would be able to be included in Participants' own system.	4	N
12	Unique identifier from party's system to be included as well as System generated identifier	If the Participant is a buyer they could also be able to do this. Two fields, seller external reference and buyer external reference. Onus will be on parties to enter these and they will not be a required field	The Commission supports this change.	1	N
13	Companies to be able to log in and change/verify all subsidiary entities contracts	Ability for operating entities within an organisation to act in concert or separately	The Commission believes it was not clear from the discussion what parties want here, as two different opinions were put forward. To this end, the Commission suggests the status quo is retained, i.e. logging in determines which organisation you are acting on behalf of.	3	N

	Suggested change	Detail	Commission's response	Development Priority level †	Rule change required
14	Trading periods field on edit screen should be Y/N not dropdown of trading period number		The Commission supports this change.	1	N
15	Grouping of contracts by Grid Zone area		The Commission supports this change and is still working through the details of the rule drafting. The Commission will be in a better position to share this with participants during the second workshop. Proposed rule drafting will also be released in the third update paper soon.	1	Y
16	Limit admin access	Not all employees with a COMIT log on can make changes	The Commission supports this change.	1	N
17	Editing	Which screens and which contract types should be editable?	The Commission considers that if the contract's status is verified or not disputed then it would not be editable or deleted (see item no.6 above). Disputed and pending verification contracts should be able to be edited	1	N
18	Quantity in the input screen of the software doesn't specify unit	Add MW to remove confusion	The Commission supports this change.	1	N
19	Inclusion of statements	MERI to get back to Commission on this. Concern expressed that getting sign off of CFO, CEO or directors may be difficult if the System generated identifier does not match the party's system	The Commission believes that the identifier fields (see item no. 12 above) should facilitate reconciliation between the System and Participants' own system, thereby enabling sufficient confidence for participants' management to sign off.	3	N