

Appendix C Submission form

Improving visibility of competition in the over-the-counter contract market - updates to clause 2.16 notice

Submitter	Shareholder
-----------	-------------

Questions	Comments
Q1. Do you agree with the proposed update to the definition of industrial consumers captured by the notice by including those who are directly connected to the grid or who are a trader of electricity?	Yes
Q2. Do you agree with the proposed inclusion of energy brokers, where they are traders in electricity, as applicable participants in the notice?	No. That is the duty of the stock broker or share holder
Q3. Do you agree with changing the disclosure obligation for requests made through brokers?	No
Q4. Do you agree with the inclusion of a column to capture generating station(s) to improve distinction between PPAs and contracts for firming?	Yes, Huntly have recieved 400 generators
Q5. Do you agree with the inclusion of the <i>TradedHedgeID</i> and <i>OfferDeclineReason</i> columns in the notice to help identify traded contracts and the reasons for declining offers?	No. Unless you are going to include penalty costs like rate payers
Q6. Do you agree with the inclusion of a column to the notice to identify the reasons behind non-conforming offers?	No need to back log

Questions	Comments
Q7. Do you agree with the proposed changes to improve the data submission? If not, why not? Do you have any suggestions?	Improvement always great. Need to include Breaches & significant costs
Q8. Do you agree with the Authority's changes to the proposed notice listed in table 2?	NO
Q9. Do you agree the benefits of the proposed amendments to this clause 2.16 notice outweigh its costs? If not, what area(s) of the Authority's preliminary assessment of benefits and costs do you disagree with?	Takapuna Auckland Infrastructure Solutions
Q10. Do you agree with the proposed changes to the notice?	NO