Compliance plan for Rotorua Lakes District Council and Mercury NZ Ltd

Deriving Submission Information			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.1 With: Clause 11(1) of Schedule 15.3	The field audit identified potential under submission of 2,400 kWh per annum due to 16 discrepancies. Mercury uses a snapshot at the end of the month for submission purposes, which does not cater for the actual installation or change dates.		
From: 01-Mar-20 To: 18-Feb-22	Over submission of 1,691 kWh due to festive lighting being in the database all year. Potential impact: Medium Actual impact: Low Audit history: Multiple times Controls: Moderate		
	Breach risk rating: 2		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	audit risk rating	
Low	The controls are recorded as moderate because they mitigate risk most of the time but there is room for improvement. The impact on settlement is minor; therefore the audit risk rating is low.		
Actions to	aken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
RLDC has made good progress on correcting the field discrepancies identified in the audit. We will follow up with RLDC regarding the festive lights being included in the database in error. We are discussing with RLDC's Mercury account manager to confirm the feasibility of RLDC providing the data in a format that makes non-snapshot practical.		March 2023	Investigating
Preventative actions take	en to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
We've found that RLDC is very compliance conscious, conscientious about accuracy of the database and easy to communicate with; this gives us confidence that accuracy will be maintained.		Ongoing	

Location of each item of load			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.3	One item of load with insufficient location	on details recorde	d.
With: 11(2)(b) of	Potential impact: Low		
Schedule 15.3	Actual impact: None		
	Audit history: Twice		
From: 18-Feb-22 To: 21-Dec-22	Controls: Strong		
10. 21-Dec-22	Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	audit risk rating	
Low	The controls are rated as strong as proce acceptable level.	esses in place miti	gate this risk to an
	The audit risk rating is low this affected impact on reconciliation.	only three items o	f load and has no direct
Actions to	aken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
We have followed up with	h RLDC and are awaiting confirmation	February 2023	Identified
Preventative actions take	en to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
conscientious about accu	very compliance conscious, racy of the database and easy to ives us confidence that accuracy will be	Ongoing	

All load recorded in data	base
Non-compliance	Description
Audit Ref: 2.5	Six additional items of load found in the field.
With: 11(2A) of	Potential impact: Low
Schedule 15.3	Actual impact: Low
	Audit history: Twice
From: 18-Feb-22	Controls: Strong
To: 21-Dec-22	Breach risk rating: 1

Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating
Low	The controls are rated as strong as processes in place mitigate this risk to an acceptable level.
	The impact on settlement and participants is minor therefore the audit risk rating is low.
	iow.

Actions taken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
For the three additional lights on front of the blue baths, RLDC has confirmed that the lights were connected via the building not SL circuit.	February 2023	Identified
The three other additional lights have been noted as 'pole to be added to RAMM' and will be updated shortly.		
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
We've found that RLDC is very compliance conscious, conscientious about accuracy of the database and easy to communicate with; this gives us confidence that accuracy will be maintained.	Ongoing	

Database accuracy

Non-compliance	Description
Audit Ref: 3.1 With: Clause 15.2 and 15.37B(b) From: 18-Feb-22 To: 21-Dec-22	The field audit identified potential under submission of 2,400 kWh per annum due to 16 discrepancies. Discrepancies from the previous audit not corrected. Over submission of 1,691 kWh due to festive lighting being in the database all year. Potential impact: Medium Actual impact: Low Audit history: Once Controls: Moderate Breach risk rating: 2
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating
Low	The controls are recorded as moderate because they mitigate risk most of the time but there is room for improvement. The impact on settlement is minor; therefore the audit risk rating is low.

Actions taken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
RLDC has made good progress on correcting the field discrepancies identified in the audit. We will follow up with RLDC regarding the festive lights being included in the database in error. We are discussing with RLDC's Mercury account manager to confirm the feasibility of RLDC providing the data in a format that makes non-snapshot practical.	March 2023	Investigating
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
We've found that RLDC is very compliance conscious, conscientious about accuracy of the database and easy to communicate with; this gives us confidence that accuracy will be maintained.	Ongoing	

Volume information accuracy			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 3.2 With: Clause 15.2 and The field audit identified potential under submission of 2,400 kWh per annuto 16 discrepancies.		400 kWh per annum due	
15.37B(c)	Mercury uses a snapshot at the end of the month for submission purposes, which does not cater for the actual installation or change dates.		
Over submission of 1,691 kWh due to festive lighting being in the databas		g in the database all year.	
	Potential impact: Medium		
From: 18-Feb-22 Actual impact: Low			
To: 21-Dec-22 Audit history: Multiple times			
	Controls: Moderate		
	Breach risk rating: 2		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for	audit risk rating	
Low	The controls are recorded as moderate because they mitigate risk most of the time but there is room for improvement. The impact on settlement is minor; therefore the audit risk rating is low.		
Actions to	raken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action status date		
discrepancies identified in regarding the festive light error. We are discussing v	ogress on correcting the field in the audit. We will follow up with RLDC its being included in the database in with RLDC's Mercury account manager of RLDC providing the data in a format		

Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date
We've found that RLDC is very compliance conscious, conscientious about accuracy of the database and easy to communicate with; this gives us confidence that accuracy will be maintained.	Ongoing