Compliance Plan for Influx Class B ATH – April 2023

| Provision of Accurate Information | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 2.2 With: Clause 10.6 of | Incorrect or missing information in so | me certification re | eports. |
| Part 10 | Potential impact: Low | | |
| | Actual impact: Low | | |
| | Audit history: Twice | | |
| From: 30-Jun-22 | Controls: Moderate | | |
| To: 16-Mar-23 | Breach risk rating: 2 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for audit risk rating | | |
| Low | The controls are recorded as moderate because they mitigate risk most of the time but there is room for improvement. | | |
| | Certification was carried out correctly, | , therefore the im | pact is considered low. |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action date | | Remedial action status | |

| two metering installations did not have all types, services access interfaces and maximum interrogation cycles recorded, (sections 3.2, 3.5 and 3.14), | March 2023 | Cleared |
|---|--------------------|---------|
| 0000514045NRC0A certification was completed on an old form (FCL Metering – AMI). All certifications going forward are completed on "Influx Metering Work" within our field capture tool which has both SAI's for HHR and NHH for AMI meters | | |
| O000007142TCBD0 certification was completed on paperwork that is no longer accepted. All contractors have been advised of this as well as this has been passed on internally. | | |
| incorrect calibration laboratory recorded on meter certification stickers for four metering installations, (section 4.14), | | |
| Correspondence was sent out to contractors regarding recording the correct calibration laboratory along with examples of calibration stickers | | |
| control device details not recorded in metering installation certification report for ICP 0000514045NRC0A, and | | |
| Training within contractors and staff | | |
| Incorrect certification period of seven years applied to 6,104 ICPs certified using the statistical recertification method. | | |
| \circ Identified and under investigation | | |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | Completion date | |
| Update to certification forms completed prior to audit, training and process change | March 2023 | |

| Metering Installation Type | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 3.2 With: Clause 8(2) of Schedule 10.7 | All installation types and services access interfaces not recorded for two installations. Potential impact: None Actual impact: None | | recorded for two |
| | Audit history: Twice | | |
| From: 30-Jun-22 | Controls: Strong | | |
| To: 16-Mar-23 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | The controls are recorded as strong because they mitigate risk to an acceptable level. There is no impact because the MEP normally determines the location of the services access interface; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | es the location of the |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion date date | | Remedial action status | |
| O000514045NRC0A certification was completed on an old form (FCL Metering – AMI). All certifications going forward are completed on "Influx Metering Work" within our field capture tool which has both SAI's for HHR and NHH for AMI meters O000007142TCBD0 certification was completed on paperwork that is no longer accepted. All contractors have been advised of this as well as this has been passed on internally. | | March 2023 | Cleared |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| Update to certification fo | orms completed prior to audit, | March 2023 | |

| Services Access Interface | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 3.5 With: Clause 10 of | All services access interfaces not recorded for two installations. | | |
| Schedule 10.4 | Potential impact: None | | |
| | Actual impact: None | | |
| 5 00 L 00 | Audit history: Twice | | |
| From: 30-Jun-22 | Controls: Strong | | |
| To: 16-Mar-23 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | The controls are recorded as strong as to record each services access interfac | | |
| | There is no impact because the MEP n services access interface; therefore, the services access interface the services access interface the services access interface the services access in the services access the services acces | | |
| Actions ta | ken to resolve the issue | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| O000514045NRC0A certification was completed on an old form (FCL Metering – AMI). All certifications going forward are completed on "Influx Metering Work" within our field capture tool which has both SAI's for HHR and NHH for AMI meters O000007142TCBD0 certification was completed on paperwork that is no longer accepted. All contractors have been advised of this as well as this has been passed on internally. | | March 2023 | Cleared |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| Update to certification fo | orms completed prior to audit, | March 2023 | |

| Determine Maximum Interrogation Cycle | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 3.14 With: Clause 36(3) & (4) of Schedule 10.7 | Maximum interrogation cycle not recorded for each services access interface in two metering installations. | | |
| | Potential impact: None | | |
| | Actual impact: None | | |
| 5 20 4 22 | Audit history: None | | |
| From: 30-Jun-22 | Controls: Strong | | |
| To: 16-Mar-23 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | or audit risk rating | |
| Low | The controls are recorded as strong as Influx have made changes to its process to record each services access interface and maximum interrogation cycle. There is no impact on MEPs because they are the source of this information anyway; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | |
| Actions tak | en to resolve the issue | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| compl – AMI are c Work" which for AM 0 00000 compl longer been a | 14045NRCOA certification was eted on an old form (FCL Metering). All certifications going forward ompleted on "Influx Metering within our field capture tool has both SAI's for HHR and NHH 11 meters 07142TCBD0 certification was eted on paperwork that is no accepted. All contractors have advised of this as well as this has bassed on internally. | March 2023 | Cleared |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| Update to certification fo | orms completed prior to audit, | March 2023 | |

| Metering Component Stickers | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 4.14 With: Clause 8(2) of Schedule 10.8 From: 15-Aug-22 | Incorrect calibration laboratory recorded on metering component sticker for four metering installations. Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low Audit history: None Controls: Moderate | | |
| To: 18-Nov-22 | Breach risk rating: 2 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | The controls are recorded as moderate because there is room for improvement. There is very little impact on other participants; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | |
| Actions ta | ken to resolve the issue | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| Correspondence was sent out to contractors regarding recording the correct calibration laboratory along with examples of calibration stickers. | | April 2023 | Identified |
| Preventative actions ta | aken to ensure no further issues will occur | Completion date | |
| Training and communica | tion with contractors | April 2023 | |

| Certification Tests | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|--|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 5.12 With: Clause 9(1)(ii)(B) of Schedule 10.7 | Minimum load requirement for raw meter data test on Category 2 meter not met for one metering installation. ATH did not measure the load applied and when conducting a raw meter data test for all 33 Category 1 metering installations checked. Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low Audit history: Once | | |
| From: 01-Feb-21 To: 16-Mar-23 | Controls: Moderate Breach risk rating: 2 | | |
| Audit risk rating | | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | The controls are recorded as moderate because they mitigate risk most of the time but there is room for improvement. The impact is minor because testing is conducted therefore the audit risk rating is low. | | |
| | | Remedial action status | |

| 0 | This Category 2 site was completed during a training session with the ATH Technical Specialist. The code clearly states in Clause 9(1)c of Schedule 10.7 that the site only requires a minimum of 10 amps during the raw meter data output test. The photo taken on site clearly shows that the current was above 10 amps (11, 14 and 11A) during the raw meter data output. This will not be an issue in future as the average load during the raw meter data output test is recorded in the new certification paperwork, however Influx would like to | N/A | Cleared |
|---|--|--------------------|---------------|
| | raise an issue of the auditor using the secondary current for the burden test as an indication for the primary load as the code does not require the primary (or | | |
| | secondary current for that matter) to be greater that a set point for burden measurements. | | |
| 0 | Influx has submitted a code change application to the EA for category 1 load testing while conducting raw meter data tests. | ТВС | Investigating |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| No preventative actions required, however new certification paperwork records the average load during the raw data output meter test. | | N/A | |

| Statistical Sampling | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 5.26 With: Clause 16 of Schedule 10.7 | Incorrect certification period of seven years applied to 6,104 ICPs certified using the statistical recertification method. Potential impact: None Actual impact: None Audit history: None | | |
| From: 07-Sep-22 | Controls: Moderate | | |
| To: 16-Mar-23 | Breach risk rating: 2 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | I have rated the controls as moderate as the statistical sampling process used is robust apart from the determination of the certification period. There is likely to be no impact as the meters used are of a higher class than required by the Code for use in Category 1 metering installations and the calibration results have confirmed their accuracy. The audit risk rating is low. | | |
| Actions ta | ken to resolve the issue | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| In discussion with the Electricity Authority regarding the certification validity periods | | ТВА | Investigating |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| Awaiting further discussi | ons with the Electricity Authority | ТВА | |

| Certification Validity Periods | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 5.28 With: Clause 17 of Schedule 10.7 | Incorrect certification period of seven years applied to 6,104 ICPs certified using the statistical recertification method. Potential impact: None Actual impact: None Audit history: None | | |
| From: 07-Sep-22 | Controls: Moderate | | |
| To: 16-Mar-23 | Breach risk rating: 2 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | I have rated the controls as moderate as the statistical sampling process used is robust apart from the determination of the certification period. There is likely to be no impact as the meters used are of a higher class than required by the Code for use in Category 1 metering installations and the | | |
| Actions ta | calibration results have confirmed the | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| In discussion with the Electricity Authority regarding the certification validity periods | | ТВА | Investigating |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| Awaiting further discussions with the Electricity Authority | | ТВА | |

| Measuring Transformers Used in a Certified Metering Installation | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 5.37 With: Clause 28(4) Of Schedule 10.7 | One metering installation certified with CTs burden lower than the lowest burden test point specified in the standard without confirmation from the CT manufacturer. | | |
| | Potential impact: Low | | |
| | Actual impact: Low | | |
| | Audit history: None | | |
| From: 06-Sep-22 | Controls: Strong | | |
| To: 16-Mar-23 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as strong as the Influx process ensures that burden resistors are added when required, and this model of CT has been added to its list of CTs requiring burden to be added. | | |
| | The impact on the accuracy of the me audit risk rating is low. | tering installation | is low therefore the |
| Actions tal | ken to resolve the issue | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| its certific notified or raised, and rectified (certification Influx requare remove | question (000530401NRCE9) had cation cancelled and the MEP n 23/03/2023. A Work Order was d a contractor attended site and the burden on 30/03/2023 on can be provided if required). test that the points regarding this ed from the overall tally or at least I with respect to the next audit | April 2023 | Cleared |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| Updates to certification p with contractors | aperwork as well as correspondence | April 2023 | |

| Burden & Compensation | | | |
|---|--|---------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 5.40 With: Clause 31 of Schedule 10.7 | One metering installation certified with CTs burden lower than the lowest burden test point specified in the standard without confirmation from the CT manufacturer. | | |
| | Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low | | |
| From: 06-Sep-22 | Audit history: None | | |
| | Controls: Strong | | |
| To: 16-Mar-23 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as strong as the Influx process ensures that burder resistors are added when required, and this model of CT has been added to its list of CTs requiring burden to be added. | | |
| | The impact on the accuracy of the me audit risk rating is low. | tering installation | is low therefore the |
| Actions ta | ken to resolve the issue | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| its certified notified or raised, and rectified (certification Influx requ | a question (000530401NRCE9) had cation cancelled and the MEP in 23/03/2023. A Work Order was d a contractor attended site and the burden on 30/03/2023 on can be provided if required). test that the points regarding this ed from the overall tally or at least d with respect to the next audit | April 2023 | Cleared |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| Updates to certification p with contractors | aperwork as well as correspondence | April 2023 | |

| Measuring Transformer Certification | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Non-compliance | Description | | | | |
| Audit Ref: 5.67 With: Clause 3 of | Burden range is not sufficiently clear when CTs are certified. | | | | |
| Schedule 10.8 | Potential impact: Low | | | | |
| | Actual impact: Low | | | | |
| | Audit history: Once | | | | |
| From: 01-Apr-21 | Controls: Strong | | | | |
| To: 04-Nov-22 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for audit risk rating | | | | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as strong as the ATH process now records burden range correctly. | | | | |
| | The impact on settlement and participants is minor; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | | | |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue | | Completion date | Remedial action status | | |
| As per the audit correspondence, Influx have updated our Category 2 Installation Report to clearly specify the burden range on a CT by CT basis. We would request that the points associated with this be removed from the overall tally or at least considered with respect to the next audit frequency. | | September 2022 | Cleared | | |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | | | |
| Nil | | N/A | | | |

| Measuring Transformers in service burden range | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Non-compliance | Description | | | | |
| Audit Ref: 5.68 With: Clause 2(1)(E) Of | Burden range is not sufficiently clear when CTs are certified. | | | | |
| Schedule 10.8 | Potential impact: Low | | | | |
| | Actual impact: Low | | | | |
| | Audit history: Once | | | | |
| From: 01-Apr-21 | Controls: Strong | | | | |
| To: 04-Nov-22 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for audit risk rating | | | | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as strong as the ATH process now records burden range correctly. | | | | |
| | The impact on settlement and participants is minor; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | | | |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue | | Completion date | Remedial action status | | |
| As per the audit correspondence, Influx have updated our Category 2 Installation Report to clearly specify the burden range on a CT by CT basis. We would request that the points associated with this be removed from the overall tally or at least considered with respect to the next audit frequency. | | September 2022 | Cleared | | |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | | | |
| Nil | | N/A | | | |

| All Functions and Activities must be completed | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Non-compliance | Description | | | | |
| Audit Ref: 5.76 | Certification not conducted after modification of a metering installation. | | | | |
| With: Clause 10.42(1) | | | | | |
| | Potential impact: Low | | | | |
| | Actual impact: Low | | | | |
| | Audit history: Once | | | | |
| From: 19-Oct-22 | Controls: Moderate | | | | |
| To: 16-Mar-23 | Breach risk rating: 2 | | | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for audit risk rating | | | | |
| Low | The controls are recorded as moderate because they mitigate risk most of the time but there is room for improvement. | | | | |
| | The impact on settlement and participants is minor; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | | | |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue | | Completion date | Remedial action status | | |
| Influx will look to update our process so that when certifying an installation with a load control device, a ripple load control device will be installed. | | April 2023 | Identified | | |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | | | |
| Training and discussions with owners of load control devices. | | April 2023 | | | |