Compliance plan for South Waikato DC Meridian DUML 2023

Deriving submission information					
Non-compliance	Description				
Audit Ref: 2.1 With: Clause 11(1) of Schedule 15.3	One item of load with the incorrect ballast applied resulting in a very small amount of over submission. Submission is based on a snapshot and does not consider historic adjustments. Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low				
	Audit history: Multiple times previously				
From: 28-May-21 To: 28-Feb-23	Controls: Strong				
Audit risk rating	Breach risk rating: 1 Rationale for audit risk rating				
Low	The controls are rated as strong because they mitigate risk to an acceptable level. The impact is assessed to be low, based on the small impact on settlement.				
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status		
South Waikato District Council has been informed of the inaccuracy and they are awaiting on confirmation from their contractor before amending the database.		24/5/2023	Identified		
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date			
Meridian will follow up with South Waikato District Council for confirmation on the inaccuracy		24/6/2023			
We have assessed our processes and tools to account for historic lamp installations and changes to the database at a daily level. There are checks in place comparing month to month data to identify any material changes and confirm details for these. These are accounted for in monthly submission.		Ongoing			

	Database accuracy						
Non-compliance	Description						
Audit Ref: 3.1 With: Clause 15.2 and	One item of load with the incorrect ba amount of over submission.	last applied resulting in a very small					
15.37B(b)	13x 90W metered LED lights incorrectly recorded against an unmetered ICP.						
	Potential impact: Low						
	Actual impact: None						
	Audit history: Three times						
From: 28-May-21	Controls: Strong						
To: 28-Feb-23	Breach risk rating: 1						
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating						
Low	The controls are rated as strong as they will mitigate risk to an acceptable level. The impact is assessed to be effectively none but only low is available to allocate.						
	The impact is assessed to be effective	-	•				
	The impact is assessed to be effective	-	•				
Actions South Waikato District C	The impact is assessed to be effective allocate. taken to resolve the issue ouncil has been informed of the e awaiting on confirmation from their	ly none but only le	ow is available to				
Actions South Waikato District C inaccuracies and they ar contractor before amen	The impact is assessed to be effective allocate. taken to resolve the issue ouncil has been informed of the e awaiting on confirmation from their	Completion date	Remedial action status				

Volume information accuracy						
Non-compliance	Description					
Audit Ref: 3.2 With: Clause 15.2 and 15.37B(c)	One item of load with the incorrect ballast applied resulting in a very small amount of over submission. Submission is based on a snapshot and does not consider historic adjustments					
	Potential impact: Low					
	Actual impact: Low					
From: 28-May-21 To: 28-Feb-23	Audit history: Multiple times previously Controls: Strong Breach risk rating: 1					
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating					
Low	The controls are rated as strong because they mitigate risk to an acceptable level.					
	The impact is assessed to be low, based on the small impact on settlement.					
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status			
South Waikato District Council has been informed of the inaccuracy and they are awaiting on confirmation from their contractor before amending the database.		24/5/2023	Identified			
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date				
Meridian will follow up with South Waikato District Council for confirmation on the inaccuracy		24/6/2023				
We have assessed our processes and tools to account for historic lamp installations and changes to the database at a daily level. There are checks in place comparing month to month data to identify any material changes and confirm details for these. These are accounted for in monthly submission.						