

Appendix A Format for submissions

|           |                |
|-----------|----------------|
| Submitter | Intellihub Ltd |
|-----------|----------------|

| Question  | Comment   |
|---|---|
| Q1 For your preferred option, do you prefer Option 1, Option 2, or Option 3?  | As a MEP, Intellihub's preferred option is Option 3, as this allows for our (MEP) representation in the new EAAG. We believe this is key to providing a good cross representation of all industry participants. As there is greater focus on access to data for consumers, within a number industry functions and for more industry participants we believe not having MEP's as core members would not be in best interest of the industry. |
| Q2 Are there any key stakeholders that have been left out of these preferred options?   | If Option 3 is chosen, then Intellihub believes minimal key stakeholders would be left out.   |
| Q3 Do you have any comments on the proposed membership?   | We believe Option 3 will allow for a better representation of all industry participants. As there is more focus on access to data for numerous regulatory works, we feel an ad hoc approach to MEP's attendance is not ideal.   |
| Q4 Do you have an alternative suggestion? If so, please provide details.  |   |
| Q5 Do you have any comments on the proposed changes to the draft documents in Appendices C and D?                                       | Intellihub as a MEP is comfortable with the proposed changes in Appendices C and D.   |
| Q6 Do you agree with the overall assessment of the Code amendment proposal? If not, what alternative assessment would you make and why? | Intellihub as a MEP is comfortable with the overall assessment of the Code amendment proposal.  |