Appendix G Format for Submissions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Submitter** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Questions** |
| Q1. Are there other options that you think the Authority should consider?  Comment |
| Q2. Do you have any comments on the options outlined?  Comment |
| Q3A. Do you agree that a combination of TOU tariffs and load control (appliance) tariffs would be useful for the smart management of peak demand?  Q3B. Do you consider that TOU pricing could have unintended consequences for congestion on the LV network?  Q3C.Do you consider that use of shoulder pricing as part of the TOU price structure could be an effective way to mitigate this risk? What other ways could be effective?  Comment |
| Q4. Do you agree with the assessment of the current situation and context for peak period pricing signals? What if any other significant factors should the Authority be considering?  Comment |
| Q5. Do you agree with the problem statement for peak period pricing signals?  Comment |
| Q6. Do you have any comments on the Authority’s preferred pricing for peak periods?  Comment |
| Q7. Are there other options you think the Authority should consider for improving peak period pricing?  Comment |
| Q8. Which if any of the above options do you consider would best support distribution pricing reform around peak pricing signals and why?  Comment |
| Q9. Do you agree with the assessment of the current situation and context for off-peak pricing signals? What if any other significant factors should the Authority be considering?  Comment |
| Q10. Do you agree with the problem statement for off-peak pricing signals?  Comment |
| Q11. Do you have any comments on the Authority’s preferred pricing for off-peak usage?  Comment |
| Q12. Are there other options you think the Authority should consider for improving off-peak pricing?  Comment |
| Q13. Which if any of the above options do you consider would best support distribution pricing reform around off-peak pricing signals and why?  Comment |
| Q14. Do you agree with the assessment of the current situation and context for target revenue allocation? What if any other significant factors should the Authority be considering?  Comment |
| Q15. Do you agree with the problem statement for target revenue allocation?  Comment |
| Q16. Do you have any comments on the Authority’s preferred pricing?  Comment |
| Q17. Are there other options you think the Authority should consider for improving target revenue allocation?  Comment |
| Q18. Which if any of the above options do you consider would best support distribution pricing reform around targeted revenue allocation?  Comment |
| Q19. Do you agree with the assessment of the current situation and context for connection pricing? What if any other significant factors should the Authority be considering?  Comment |
| Q20. Do you agree with the problem statement for connection pricing?  Comment |
| Q21. Do you agree with the Authority's preferred pricing approach for connection charges?  Comment |
| Q22. Do you have any thoughts on the complementary measures mentioned above and to what extent work on these issues could lead to more efficient outcomes for access seekers?  Comment |
| Q23. Are there other options you think the Authority should consider for connection pricing?  Comment |
| Q24. Which if any of the above options do you consider would best support distribution pricing reform in the area of connection pricing?  Comment |
| Q25A. Do you agree with the assessment of the current situation and context for retailer response? What if any other significant factors should the Authority be considering?  Q25B. [***for retailers***]: What plans do you have for responding to distribution price signals as distributors reform their price structures? What barriers do you see to responding efficiently?  Q25C. [***for distributors***]: What plans do you have to increase the proportion of your customers that face time-varying charges (for example, making TOU plans mandatory for retailers whose end-users have an AMI meter installed)?  Comment |
| Q26. Do you agree with the problem statement for retailer response?  Comment |
| Q27A. Do you have any comments on the Authority’s preferred pricing?  Q27B. [***for retailers***]: What use do you make of deemed and residual profiles? Please explain the reasons for this. What barriers do you see to phasing out use of deemed and residual profiles?  Comment |
| Q28. Are there other options you think the Authority should consider for retailer response?  Comment |
| Q29. Which if any of the above options do you consider would best support distribution pricing reform in the area of retailer response?  Comment |