Compliance Plan for Ashburton District Council DUML 2023

Deriving submission information				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 2.1 With: Clause 11(1) of	Database is not confirmed as accurate with a 95% level of confidence as recorded in section 3.1.			
Schedule 15.3	One item of load with the incorrect ballast recorded resulting in an estimated minor under submission of 4.27 kWh per annum.			
	The monthly database extract provided does not track changes at a daily basis and is provided as a snapshot.			
	Potential impact: Medium			
	Actual impact: Low			
	Audit history: Multiple times			
From: 01-Sep-21	Controls: Moderate			
To: 14-Aug-23	Breach risk rating: 2			
Audit risk rating	Rationale fo	r audit risk rating		
Low	Controls are rated as moderate, as they are sufficient to mitigate the risk most of the time but there is room for improvement.			
	The impact on settlement and particip is low.	oants is low; there	fore, the audit risk rating	
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status	
Ashburton District Council has been advised of the discrepancies identified. Ashburton District Council has advised, they will be investigated and corrected where required.		29/08/2023	Identified	
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date		
Meridan will continue to follow up with Ashburton District Council to have the inaccuracies corrected.		30/09/2023		
Ashburton District Council advise the EA standardized wattage format is to be adopted.		30/09/2023		
We have assessed our processes and tools to account for historic lamp installations and changes to the database at a daily level. There are checks in place comparing month to month data to identify any material changes and confirm details for these. These are accounted for in monthly submission.		Ongoing		

All load recorded in database				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 2.5	One additional light found in the field audit sample.			
With: Clause 11(2A) of	Potential impact: Low			
Schedule 15.3	Actual impact: Low			
	Audit history: Once			
From: Unknown	Controls: Strong			
To: 14-Aug-23	Breach risk rating: 1			
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating			
Low	The controls are rated as strong overall as there are robust processes in place ensure that the database is kept up to date.			
	The impact on settlement and participants is minor; therefore, the audit risk rating is low.			
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status	
Ashburton District Council has been advised of the discrepancies identified. Ashburton District Council has advised, they will be investigated and corrected where required.		30/08/2023	Identified	
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date		
Meridan will continue to follow up with Ashburton District Council to have the inaccuracies corrected.		30/09/2023		

Database accuracy				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 3.1	Database is not confirmed as accurate with a 95% level of confidence.			
With: Clause 15.2 and 15.37B(b)	24 lights with the incorrect light description. The correct wattage is recorded so this has no impact on reconciliation.			
	One item of load with the incorrect ballast recorded resulting in an estimated minor under submission of 4.27 kWh per annum.			
	Potential impact: Medium			
	Actual impact: Low			
	Audit history: Twice previously			
From: 01-Sep-21	Controls: Moderate			
To: 14-Aug-23	Breach risk rating: 2			
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating			
Low	Controls are rated as moderate, as they are sufficient to mitigate the risk most of the time but there is room for improvement. The impact is assessed to be low due to the estimated kWh volume variances.			
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status	
Ashburton District Council has been advised of the discrepancies identified. Ashburton District Council has advised, they will be investigated and corrected where required.		30/08/2023	Identified	
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date		
Meridan will continue to follow up with Ashburton District Council to have the inaccuracies corrected.		30/09/2023		
Ashburton District Council advise the EA standardized wattage format is to be adopted.		30/09/2023		

Volume information accuracy				
Non-compliance	Description			
Audit Ref: 3.2 With: Clause 15.2 and	Database is not confirmed as accurate with a 95% level of confidence as recorded in section 3.1.			
15.37B(c)	One item of load with the incorrect ballast recorded resulting in an estimated minor under submission of 4.27 kWh per annum.			
	The monthly database extract provided does not track changes at a daily basis and is provided as a snapshot.			
	Potential impact: Medium			
	Actual impact: Low			
	Audit history: Multiple times			
From: 01-Sep-21	Controls: Moderate			
To: 14-Aug-23	Breach risk rating: 2			
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating			
Low	Controls are rated as moderate, as they are sufficient to mitigate the risk most of the time but there is room for improvement. The impact is assessed to be low due to the estimated kWh volume variances.			
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status	
Ashburton District Council has been advised of the discrepancies identified. Ashburton District Council has advised, they will be investigated and corrected where required.		30/08/2023	Identified	
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date		
Meridan will continue to follow up with Ashburton District Council to have the inaccuracies corrected.		30/09/2023		
Ashburton District Council advise the EA standardized wattage format is to be adopted.		30/09/2023		
We have assessed our processes and tools to account for historic lamp installations and changes to the database at a daily level. There are checks in place comparing month to month data to identify any material changes and confirm details for these. These are accounted for in monthly submission.		Ongoing		