

Compliance Plan Buller DC ElectroNet DUML 2023

Deriving submission information			
Non-compliance	Description		
Audit Ref: 2.1 With: Clause 11(1) of Schedule 15.3 From: 28-Aug-23 To: 02-Nov-23	Light number 2816 on Owen St, Punakaiki was an L27 (27W) light but was recorded in the database as L22 (22W) resulting in potential under submission of 64 kWh per annum. Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low Audit history: Once Controls: Strong Breach risk rating: 1		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	The controls are rated as strong. The field audit found the database wattage was within $\pm 5\%$ of the survey wattage and the database is deemed to be accurate. Review of consistency of make and model information and wattages found one LED light had an incorrect wattage recorded. The impact on submission is low based on the kWh difference.		
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status
ElectroNet has advised a workorder has been scheduled to inspect all discrepancies and is expected to be completed end of Jan 2024.		31/01/2024	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	
Meridian Energy will continue to follow up with ElectroNet to ensure the database is corrected.		07/02/2024	

All load recorded in database		
Non-compliance	Description	
Audit Ref: 2.5 With: Clause 11(2A) of Schedule 15.3 From: 01-Nov-23 To: 02-Nov-23	Two lights were not recorded in the database. Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low Audit history: None Controls: Moderate Breach risk rating: 2	
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating	
Low	The controls are rated as moderate, because most items of load are correctly recorded but there is some room for improvement. The impact is assessed to be low because two items of load were missing from the database.	

Actions taken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
ElectroNet has advised a workorder has been scheduled to inspect all discrepancies and is expected to be completed end of Jan 2024.	31/01/2024	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
Meridian Energy will continue to follow up with ElectroNet to ensure the database is corrected.	07/02/2024	

Database accuracy		
Non-compliance	Description	
<p>Audit Ref: 3.1</p> <p>With: Clause 15.2 and 15.37B(b)</p> <p>From: 28-Aug-23</p> <p>To: 02-Nov-23</p>	<p>Light number 2816 on Owen St, Punakaiki was an L27 (27W) light but was recorded in the database as L22 (22W) resulting in potential under submission of 64 kWh per annum.</p> <p>Potential impact: Low</p> <p>Actual impact: Low</p> <p>Audit history: Once</p> <p>Controls: Strong</p> <p>Breach risk rating: 1</p>	
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating	
Low	<p>The controls are rated as strong. The field audit found the database wattage was within $\pm 5\%$ of the survey wattage and the database is deemed to be accurate. Review of consistency of make and model information and wattages found one LED light had an incorrect wattage recorded.</p> <p>The impact on submission is low based on the kWh difference.</p>	
Actions taken to resolve the issue	Completion date	Remedial action status
ElectroNet has advised a workorder has been scheduled to inspect all discrepancies and is expected to be completed end of Jan 2024.	31/01/2024	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur	Completion date	
Meridian Energy will continue to follow up with ElectroNet to ensure the database is corrected.	07/02/2024	

Volume information accuracy			
Non-compliance	Description		
<p>Audit Ref: 3.2</p> <p>With: Clause 15.2 and 15.37B(c)</p> <p>From: 28-Aug-23</p> <p>To: 02-Nov-23</p>	<p>Light number 2816 on Owen St, Punakaiki was an L27 (27W) light but was recorded in the database as L22 (22W) resulting in potential under submission of 64 kWh per annum.</p> <p>Potential impact: Low</p> <p>Actual impact: Low</p> <p>Audit history: Once</p> <p>Controls: Strong</p> <p>Breach risk rating: 1</p>		
Audit risk rating	Rationale for audit risk rating		
Low	<p>The controls are rated as strong. The field audit found the database wattage was within $\pm 5\%$ of the survey wattage and the database is deemed to be accurate. Review of consistency of make and model information and wattages found one LED light had an incorrect wattage recorded.</p> <p>The impact on submission is low based on the kWh difference.</p>		
Actions taken to resolve the issue		Completion date	Remedial action status
ElectroNet has advised a workorder has been scheduled to inspect all discrepancies and is expected to be completed end of Jan 2024.		31/01/2024	Identified
Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur		Completion date	
Meridian Energy will continue to follow up with ElectroNet to ensure the database is corrected.		07/02/2024	