Compliance Plan for Contact MEP – 2022

| Changes to Registry Records | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 4.10 | Three records updated on the registry later than 10 business days. | | |
| With: Clause 3 of | Potential impact: Low | | |
| Schedule 11.4 | Actual impact: Low | | |
| | Audit history: Multiple times | | |
| From: 01-Jul-19 | Controls: Strong | | |
| To: 30-Sep-20 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for audit risk rating | | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as strong as there were only three late updates which related to corrections. Updates occur as soon as errors are found. | | |
| | The impact on participants, customers or settlement is minor, therefore the audit risk rating is low. | | |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue | | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| All records have been corrected in the registry | | Completed | Cleared |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| Contact has further refined our current process by increasing the frequency of the reports to run fortnightly which will reduce the timeframes associated with correcting any errors. The 3 records that have been identified as non-compliant were remedied very soon after these were identified in our reconciliation process. | | Ongoing | |

| Provision of Registry Information | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|------------|--|
| Non-compliance | Des | scription | | |
| Audit Ref: 6.2 | Some registry records incomplete or in | ncorrect. | | |
| With: Clause 7 (1), (2) | Potential impact: Medium | | | |
| and (3) of Schedule | Actual impact: Low | | | |
| 11.4 | Audit history: Multiple times | | | |
| From: 01-Jul-19 | Controls: Strong | | | |
| To: 30-Sep-20 | Breach risk rating: 1 | | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for audit risk rating | | | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as strong in this area. Sound validations are in place to ensure data is accurate. | | | |
| | Very few of the discrepancies have an impact on participants, customers or settlement. The only relevant ones in this regard are tariff related and there were only a small number. The audit risk rating is low. | | | |
| Actions ta | Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action statu | | | |
| [Comments have been included against specific items in the Table above] | | Completed | Identified | |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | | |
| [Comments have been included against specific items in the Table above] | | Ongoing | | |

| Correction of Errors in Registry | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| Non-compliance | Description | | | |
| Audit Ref: 6.3 With: Clause 6 of Schedule 11.4 | Registry validation not conducted for of Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low | every month of th | ne audit period. | |
| From: 31-Dec-21 To: 05-May-22 | Audit history: None Controls: Weak Breach risk rating: 3 | | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | r audit risk rating | | |
| Low | The controls are recorded as weak because Contact has been unable to run the validation process since November 2021. | | | |
| | The impact on settlement and participants is minor; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | | |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue | | Completion date | Remedial action status | |
| We acknowledged that registry validation has not been conducted for a couple of months (specifically January and February 2022) due to system upgrade. | | Completed | Identified | |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | | |
| Contact reconciles core metering attributes on a monthly basis using the data in the registry and our records by obtaining a daily snapshot and fortnightly metering details reports from the registry to ensure comparisons and subsequent corrections are meeting the requirement. It is noted that Contact no longer installs new metering equipment, and any replacement equipment becomes a smart meter, therefore any errors in metering data are extremely rare (almost non-existent). We would appreciate these aspects being considered in the | | Ongoing | | |
| We would appreciate the rating assessment. | ese aspects being considered in the | | | |

| Cancellation of Certification | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 6.4 | Certification cancelled, and registry not updated for 5,068 Category 1 ICPs due inspection by statistical sample not being conducted in 2020. | | |
| With: Clause 6 of Schedule 11.4 | Certification cancelled, and registry not updated for four Category 2 ICPs with overdue inspections. | | |
| From: 31-Dec-20 | Potential impact: Low | | |
| To: 05-May-22 | Actual impact: Low | | |
| | Audit history: None | | |
| | Controls: Weak | | |
| | Breach risk rating: 3 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as weak i identified ICPs with cancelled certifica | | - |
| | The issues found can all potentially ha on settlement. The audit risk rating is | • | on other participants and |
| Actions tal | ken to resolve the issue | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| We acknowledge that these installations were not inspected within the given timeframes. We also acknowledge that the certification details of these metering installations weren't updated in the registry. Contact has been engaged in a commercial process to sell our remaining assets, which has, for a number of reasons, taken longer than we expected to conclude. The delay has resulted | | Completed | Identified |
| in the pausing of 2021 compliance and certification programme as the potential purchaser had indicated they would conduct the compliance and certification process to enable a clean transition. The sale is nearing completion and it is still our understanding that the purchaser will complete the compliance and certification work. We have kept (and will continue to keep) the Authority up to date on this process, (our most recent communication was on 5 April 2022) and would appreciate this being considered when performing final recommendations. | | | |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| will be included in the ne | s compliance and certification tasks w MEP's program of work. If the sale ct will initiate our next metering ion program. | Ongoing | |

| Certification and Maintenance | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------|------------|--|
| Non-compliance | Description | | | |
| Audit Ref: 7.1 | Certification expired for 848 ICPs and certification cancelled for 5,072 ICPs. | | | |
| With: Clause 10.38 (a), clause 1 and clause 15 of Schedule 10.7 | Potential impact: High | | | |
| | Actual impact: Low | | | |
| From: 15-Feb-19 | Audit history: Multiple times | | | |
| To: 05-May-22 | Controls: Weak | | | |
| | Breach risk rating: 3 | | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for | audit risk rating | | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as weak in t identified ICPs with cancelled certificatio | on dating back to 2 | 2020. | |
| | The impact on settlement is recorded as low. There is an increased likelihood of failure or inaccuracy for metering installations with expired certification, but the numbers are low, therefore the audit risk rating is low. | | | |
| Actions to | Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action status date | | | |
| In relation to the cancellation of 4 CAT2 metering installations, we acknowledged that these installations were not inspected within the given timeframe. We have updated the certification details of these metering installations in the registry. Contact has been engaged in a commercial process to sell our remaining assets, which has, for a number of reasons, taken longer than we expected to conclude. The delay has resulted in the pausing of 2021 compliance and certification programme as the potential purchaser had indicated they would conduct the compliance and certification process to enable a clean transition. The sale is nearing completion and it is still our understanding that the purchaser will complete the compliance and certification work. We have kept (and will continue to keep) the Authority up to date on this process, (our most recent communication was on 5 April 2022) and would appreciate this being considered when performing final recommendations. | | Completed | Identified | |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | | |
| As above, if sale proceeds compliance and certification tasks will be included in the new MEP's program of work. If the sale does not proceed, Contact will initiate our next metering compliance and certification program. | | Ongoing | | |

| Timekeeping Requirements | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|--|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 7.10 | Seven ICPs with time switches not checked within 12 months. | | |
| With: Clause 23 of Schedule 10.7 | Five ICPs with time errors exceeding an average of two seconds per day over a period of 12 months. | | |
| From: 01-Jul-19 To: 05-May-22 | 79 ICPs where time errors were not co | orrected. | |
| , | | | |
| | Potential impact: Medium | | |
| | Actual impact: Low | | |
| | Audit history: Multiple times | | |
| | Controls: Moderate | | |
| | Breach risk rating: 2 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as moderate in this area because Contact has processes to ensure time switches are checked every 12 months but does not have a process to correct the time. | | |
| | The impact is low as the trader is not using the timed registers for submission; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. | | |
| Actions ta | Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action sta | | Remedial action status |
| Contact acknowledges that there are 7 ICPs where time keeping checks could not be completed, primarily due to access issues, and 5 ICPs were identified to have time keeping errors that will be investigated further and corrected. | | Completed | Investigating for 7 ICPs not checked and 5 ICPs with errors exceeding 2 seconds per day. |
| Contacts interpretation of the 79 ICPs noted to contain time errors differs to what the audit findings have outlined, based on the Part 10.7 of the Code, clause (23. (b)). We believe that these ICPs do not require correction due to the fact, the time keeping error is not greater than an average of two seconds per day over a period of 12 months for these installations. | | | Disputed for 79 ICPs where time errors were not corrected. |
| We haven't heard from Electricity Authority about our interpretation that has been put through our previous MEP Audit. We would appreciate clarification of our interpretation and if our understanding is correct, for the risk rating to be reassessed as appropriate. | | | |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| If sale proceeds time keeping requirement tasks will be included in the new MEP's program of work. If the sale does not proceed, Contact will continue to investigate and correct any time clock errors. | | Ongoing | |

| Statistical Sampling | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 7.13 With: Clause 16(1) of Schedule 10.7 From: 12-May-21 To: 05-May-22 | Sample not representative of the group of meters certified using the statistical recertification method. Potential impact: Medium Actual impact: Low Audit history: None Controls: Weak Breach risk rating: 6 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale for | audit risk rating | |
| Medium | I have rated the controls as weak because the ATH process did not ensure correct selection of samples. The impact could be significant, as it is likely that inaccurate metering installations have been recertified. The audit risk rating is medium. | | |
| Actions to | aken to resolve the issue | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| Contact accepts the findings within the audit and would like to provide some background information relating these findings. We would also like to express at the outset, our disappointment at only recently being made aware (in May 2022) of a significant non-compliance or error by Delta (our ATH) in relation to our 2020 statistical sampling programme of work, despite the ATH, the Authority and the Auditors being aware of and conversing on this topic (to the exclusion of Contact). | | Completed | Investigating |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| Since we were only made aware (in May 2022) of the errors in relation to our 2020 statistical sampling programme of work, we are still investigating the error and the presumed breakdown in communication. We have not been able to find copies of a notification of the errors and had we not received confirmation from the ATH [who confirmed that it was all fine?] on [insert date] that our programme of work was successful, we would have taken immediate remedial action. Contact will continue to investigate the errors and failure in communication to Contact and will be in touch with all parties involved to ensure we understand what has occurred, have clear steps to remedy and hopefully avoid a similar poor industry outcome for other parties that may be in a similar situation. | | Ongoing | |

| Category 1 Inspections | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 8.1 With: Clause 45 of Schedule 10.7 From: 31-Dec-20 To: 11-Jul-21 | Inspection by statistical sampling not conducted in 2020. Inspection report not provided to the Authority for inspections conducted in 2021. Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low Audit history: Once Controls: Moderate Breach risk rating: 2 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as moderate as Contact has an inspection regime in place, but this was not conducted in 2020. It is unlikely that the missed inspections will impact on other participants and on settlement. The audit risk rating is low. | | |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue | | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| Contact has been engaged in a commercial process to sell our remaining assets, which has, for a number of reasons, taken longer than we expected to conclude. The delay has resulted in the pausing of 2021 compliance and certification programme as the potential purchaser had indicated they would conduct the compliance and certification process to enable a clean transition. The sale is nearing completion and it is still our understanding that the purchaser will complete the compliance and certification work. We have kept (and will continue to keep) the Authority up to date on this process, (our most recent communication was on 5 April 2022) and would appreciate this being considered when performing final recommendations. | | Ongoing | Identified |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur | | Completion date | |
| As above, if sale proceeds compliance and certification tasks will be included in the new MEP's program of work. If the sale does not proceed, Contact will initiate our next metering compliance and certification program. | | Ongoing | |

| Category 2 to 5 Inspections | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|------------|
| Non-compliance | Description | | |
| Audit Ref: 8.2 | Inspections not conducted for four Category 2 metering installations. | | |
| With: Clause 46(1) of Schedule 10.7 | Potential impact: Low | | |
| | Actual impact: Low | | |
| From: 12-Jul-20 | Audit history: None | | |
| To: 05-Nov-20 | Controls: Moderate | | |
| | Breach risk rating: 2 | | |
| Audit risk rating | Rationale fo | r audit risk rating | |
| Low | I have recorded the controls as moderate in this area because Contact has not been able to complete inspections within the inspection window. | | |
| | The impact on settlement is recorded as low. There is an increased likelihood of failure or inaccuracy for metering installations which have not been inspected, but the numbers are low, therefore the audit risk rating is low. The audit risk rating is low. | | |
| Actions ta | Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action state | | |
| Contact Response: We acknowledged that 4 CAT2 metering installations were not inspected within the given timeframe. We have updated the certification details of these metering installations in the registry and cancelled their certification. | | Completed | Identified |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur Completion date | | | |
| As above, if sale proceeds compliance and certification tasks will be included in the new MEP's program of work. If the sale does not proceed, Contact will initiate our next metering compliance and certification program. | | Ongoing | |