## Compliance Plan for Intellihub Ltd MEP – 2021

| MEP responsibility for services access interface   |  |                     |                             |
|--|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Non-compliance   | Description  |                     |                             |
| Audit Ref: 2.1 With: Clause 10.9(2)  | Each services access interface not identified for 67 metering installations.  Potential impact: None   |                     |                             |
| From: 01-Feb-21  | Actual impact: None Audit history: None  |                     |                             |
| To: 27-May-21  | Controls: Weak Breach risk rating: 3   |                     |                             |
| Audit risk rating  | _  | r audit risk rating |                             |
| Low  | I have recorded the controls as weak a in certification reports.   | as they are not su  | fficient to identify errors |
|  | There is no impact because the MEP normally determines the location of the services access interface; therefore, the audit risk rating is low. |                     |                             |
| Actions ta   | Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action st   |                     | Remedial action status      |
| The Intellihub ATH has developed revised Category 1 and Category 2 Metering Installation Certification Report templates for use by new connections contractors, as well as making changes to Metering Installation Certification Reports for Intellihub ATH technicians. |  | 01/08/2021          | Investigating               |
| ·  | service access interfaces as<br>tegory 1, and Category this will also<br>use for each.   |                     |                             |
| Intellihub MEP in collaboration with our ATH will reissue communication to contracted ATH's to ensure their respective processes and related systems are set up to cover this requirement on-going.  |  |                     |                             |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will Completion date  |  |                     |                             |
| As above, with some internal guidance to staff. 01/08/2021   |  |                     |                             |

| Participants to Provide Accurate Information  |  |                     |                            |
|---|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Non-compliance  | Description  |                     |                            |
| Audit Ref: 2.5  | All practicable steps not taken to ensu corrected as soon as practicable.  | ıre data is correct | and that incorrect data is |
| With: Clause 11.2 and<br>Clause 10.6  | Potential impact: Medium   |                     |                            |
|   | Actual impact: Low   |                     |                            |
| From: 01-Jun-20   | Audit history: Multiple times  |                     |                            |
| To: 27-May-21   | Controls: Moderate   |                     |                            |
|   | Breach risk rating: 2  |                     |                            |
| Audit risk rating   | Rationale fo   | r audit risk rating |                            |
| Low   | I have recorded the controls as moderate in this area because there are still a small number of areas where improvement can be made.   |                     |                            |
|   | Very few of the registry related discrepancies have an impact on participants, customers or settlement. The only relevant ones in this regard are tariff related and there were only a small number. The audit risk rating is low. |                     |                            |
| Actions ta  | ken to resolve the issue   | Completion date     | Remedial action status     |
| Intellihub has assigned dedicated resource to focus solely on data quality. Intellihub continues to work to identify areas for improvement as part of a continuous improvement cycle. |  |                     | Investigating              |
| Preventative actions to   | Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur  |                     |                            |
| As above  |  | On-going            |                            |

| Registry Notification of Metering Records  |   |                     |                             |
|--|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Non-compliance   | Description   |                     |                             |
| Audit Ref: 3.2   | 480 registry updates later than 15 business days.   |                     |                             |
| With: Clause 2 of  | Potential impact: Medium  |                     |                             |
| Schedule 11.4  | Actual impact: Low  |                     |                             |
|  | Audit history: Multiple times   |                     |                             |
| From: 01-Jun-20  | Controls: Moderate  |                     |                             |
| To: 22-Apr-21  | Breach risk rating: 2   |                     |                             |
| Audit risk rating  | Rationale fo  | r audit risk rating |                             |
| Low  | Controls are in place to manage timeliness, but improvements are required to ensure late notifications from the field and exceptions are reduced. |                     |                             |
|  | The impact on other participants is mi  | inor; therefore, th | e audit risk rating is low. |
| Actions ta   | ken to resolve the issue  | Completion date     | Remedial action status      |
| Intellihub is reviewing the mechanisms for interacting with contracted ATH's with a view to reducing time delays in retrieving data. Intellihub will also be investigating opportunities to improve work order exchanges with Traders. |   | July 2021           | Investigating               |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur  |   | Completion date     |                             |
|  | o provide feedback on exceptions to of their obligations to promptly return   | On-going            |                             |

| Changes to Registry Records                         |   |                     |                        |
|---|---|---------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance                                      | Description   |                     |                        |
| Audit Ref: 4.10                                     | Some records updated on the registry later than 10 business days.   |                     |                        |
| With: Clause 3 of                                   | Potential impact: Medium  |                     |                        |
| Schedule 11.4                                       | Actual impact: Low  |                     |                        |
|   | Audit history: Multiple times   |                     |                        |
| From: 01-Jun-20                                     | Controls: Moderate  |                     |                        |
| To: 22-Apr-21                                       | Breach risk rating: 2   |                     |                        |
| Audit risk rating                                   | Rationale fo  | r audit risk rating | }                      |
| Low   | I have recorded the controls as moderate in this area because they haven't changed since the last audit, and they are sufficient to ensure most updates are on time but there is considerable room for improvement. |                     |                        |
|   | The late updates for new connections occurred after the trader had populated their records, therefore the impact on participants, customers or settlement is minor, therefore the audit risk rating is low.         |                     |                        |
| Actions ta  | ken to resolve the issue  | Completion date     | Remedial action status |
| Field techs advised of the timely return of paperwo | e importance of the accurate and ork.   | On-going            | Investigating          |
| Preventative actions to                             | aken to ensure no further issues will occur   | Completion date     |                        |
|   | o provide feedback on exceptions to them of their obligations to return   | On-going            |                        |

| Accurate and Complete Records  |   |                     |                       |
|--|---|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Non-compliance   | Description   |                     |                       |
| Audit Ref: 5.1 With: Clause 4(1)(a) and (b) of Schedule 10.6   | A high number of fields not accurate and complete in a sample of 100 Certification records. Potential impact: Medium Actual impact: Low |                     |                       |
| From: 01-Jun-20<br>To: 22-Apr-21   | Audit history: Once Controls: Weak Breach risk rating: 3  |                     |                       |
| Audit risk rating  | Rationale fo  | r audit risk rating | 1                     |
| Low  | The controls require strengthening to ensure record accuracy issues are identified as soon as possible.                                 |                     |                       |
|  | The impact is minor for most fields. Ir can be misleading and can lead to re-v  |                     | ion dates and methods |
| Actions ta   | Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action statu   |                     |                       |
| Intellihub has reallocated tasks within teams with the objective of reducing the time taken, and the number of inaccuracies, when creating Metering Installation Certification Reports |   | On-going            | Investigating         |
| As a result of recommendations from the last audit, Intellihub have a dedicated resource to quality check records.   |   |                     |                       |
| Intellihub will continue to engage with ATH's on better clarity and presentation of certification reports to ensure these are fit for purpose.   |   |                     |                       |
| Preventative actions ta  | aken to ensure no further issues will occur   | Completion date     |                       |
| Intellihub will continue to engage with ATH's on better clarity and presentation of certification reports to ensure these are fit for purpose.   |   | On-going            |                       |

| Provision of Registry Information   |   |                      |                        |
|---|---|----------------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance  | Description   |                      |                        |
| Audit Ref: 6.2  | Some registry records incomplete or incorrect.  |                      |                        |
| With: Clause 7 (1), (2)   | Potential impact: Medium  |                      |                        |
| and (3) of Schedule   | Actual impact: Low  |                      |                        |
| 11.4  | Audit history: Multiple times   |                      |                        |
| From: 01-Jun-20   | Controls: Moderate  |                      |                        |
|   | Breach risk rating: 2   |                      |                        |
| To: 22-Apr-21  Audit risk rating  | Pationale fo  | r audit risk rating  |                        |
| Audit fisk fatilig  | Rationale 10  | i addit i sk ratilig |                        |
| Low   | I have recorded the controls as moderate in this area because there are still a small number of areas where improvement can be made. ATH accuracy is a good example.  |                      |                        |
|   | Very few of the discrepancies have an impact on participants, customers or settlement. The only relevant ones in this regard are tariff related and there were only a small number. The audit risk rating is low. |                      |                        |
| Actions ta  | ken to resolve the issue  | Completion date      | Remedial action status |
| Intellihub have good con discrepancies are sent to  | trols in place to ensure no new data the Registry.  | On-going             | Investigating          |
| Intellihub will continue to work with Participants for access to sites where site visits are required to help resolve some of the discrepancies identified in the table above, and have placed a lot of focus on achieving quality outcomes |   |                      |                        |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur   |   | Completion date      |                        |
|   | a permanent trainer working with our<br>ew employees to ensure a robust   | January 2021         |                        |

| Correction of Errors in Registry   |   |                     |                        |  |
|--|---|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| Non-compliance   | Description   |                     |                        |  |
| Audit Ref: 6.3   | Discrepancies not resolved within 5 b   | usiness days.       |                        |  |
| With: Clause 6 of  | Potential impact: Medium  |                     |                        |  |
| Schedule 11.4  | Actual impact: Low  |                     |                        |  |
|  | Audit history: Multiple times   |                     |                        |  |
| From: 01-Jun-20  | Controls: Moderate  |                     |                        |  |
| To: 27-May-21  | Breach risk rating: 2   |                     |                        |  |
| Audit risk rating  | Rationale fo  | r audit risk rating |                        |  |
| Low  | I have recorded the controls as moderate in this area because there are still a small number of areas where improvement can be made. Certification date accuracy is a good example.                               |                     |                        |  |
|  | Very few of the discrepancies have an impact on participants, customers or settlement. The only relevant ones in this regard are tariff related and there were only a small number. The audit risk rating is low. |                     |                        |  |
| Actions ta   | ken to resolve the issue  | Completion date     | Remedial action status |  |
| Intellihub is working tow have the highest impact  | ards resolving discrepancies which on participants.   | Ongoing             | Investigating          |  |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur  |   | Completion date     |                        |  |
| Intellihub is committed to an ongoing focus on continuous improvements to the quality and completeness of all of its transactions. |   | Ongoing             |                        |  |

| Cancellation of Certification  |   |                     |                        |  |
|--|---|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| Non-compliance   | Des   | scription           |                        |  |
| Audit Ref: 6.4 With: Clause 20 of  | Certification not cancelled on the registry for three metering installations where low burden is present.   |                     |                        |  |
| Schedule 10.7  | Potential impact: Medium  |                     |                        |  |
|  | Actual impact: Medium   |                     |                        |  |
| From: 01-Feb-21  | Audit history: Multiple times   |                     |                        |  |
| To: 27-May-21  | Controls: Moderate  |                     |                        |  |
|  | Breach risk rating: 4   |                     |                        |  |
| Audit risk rating  | Rationale fo  | r audit risk rating |                        |  |
| Medium   | I have recorded the controls as moderate in this area because most processes are managed with sufficient controls to avoid cancellation of certification. The controls have improved with regard to installations with low burden as ATHs are adopting new processes in line with the February 1 <sup>st</sup> code change. |                     |                        |  |
|  | Whilst the overall error has been recorded as less than the 2.5% maximum it has been shown that under burdened CTs can result in an increase in error of 0.5%. I have recorded the impact as medium.  |                     |                        |  |
| Actions ta   | ken to resolve the issue  | Completion date     | Remedial action status |  |
| Intellihub agrees with this finding. Certification for the 3 ICP's identified has been cancelled on The Registry and site visits organized to recertify and to address burden.   |   | 01/08/2021          | Investigating          |  |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur  |   | Completion date     |                        |  |
| The guidance given in our CT burdening procedure has been by amended to ensure that it clearly includes instructions on the burdening of very low burden rated CTs. The anticipated solution is to check measured values against calculated burden values from cable lengths and characteristics, then ensuring that the final recorded burden values are within the required limits. This process is validated by repeating the tests for different primary current values or adding one or two metres of standard copper wire. |   | 24/06/2021          |                        |  |

| Certification and Maintenance   |  |                 |                        |
|---|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| Non-compliance  | Description  |                 |                        |
| Audit Ref: 7.1 With: Clause 10.38 (a), clause 1 and clause 15 of Schedule 10.7 From: 01-Jan-98 To: 22-Apr-21 Audit risk rating  | Description  Certification expired, cancelled or late for 2,859 ICPs.  Potential impact: High  Actual impact: Medium  Audit history: Multiple times  Controls: Moderate  Breach risk rating: 4  Rationale for audit risk rating  |                 |                        |
| Medium  | I have recorded the controls as moderate in this area because certification has been expired for a number of years for some ICPs and because some of the expired installations were fully certified at one point.  The impact on settlement is recorded as moderate because of the increased likelihood of failure or inaccuracy for metering installations with expired certification, therefore the audit risk rating is medium. |                 |                        |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue  |  | Completion date | Remedial action status |
| Intellihub has been working proactively with Retailers to gain access to metering installations which have expired certification.  Intellihub has systematically maintained records of communications with Retailers to provide evidence of our |  | On-going.       | Investigating          |
| Intellihub has also maintained records of Consumer turndowns, along with the specific turndown reasons, when approached by contracted ATH's.  |  |                 |                        |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur   |  | Completion date |                        |
| documented and stored (Unable to Complete or 1  | ommunications trails are now<br>for records; we also store the UTC<br>Furndown) history for the majority of<br>tions where we have been unable to<br>activity.   | On-going        |                        |

| Certification Tests  |   |   |                        |  |
|--|---|---|------------------------|--|
| Non-compliance   | Description   |   |                        |  |
| Audit Ref: 7.2   | Testing not conducted for one category 2 metering installation.   |   |                        |  |
| With: Clause 10.38(b)  |   | Meter register not incrementing when raw meter data tests conducted on Intellihub meters with no decimal place. |                        |  |
| From: 23-Oct-20<br>To: 27-May-21   | Potential impact: Medium  |   |                        |  |
|  | Actual impact: Low  |   |                        |  |
|  | Audit history: None   |   |                        |  |
|  | Controls: Moderate  |   |                        |  |
|  | Breach risk rating: 2   |   |                        |  |
| Audit risk rating  | Rationale fo  | r audit risk rating   |                        |  |
| Low  | The controls are recorded as moderate as Intellihub has taken steps to ensure that the raw meter data test requirements are now met, though further checking is required to ensure all required testing is conducted by ATHs. |   |                        |  |
|  | There is no impact as the Intellihub ha increment when the meter pulses; the  |   | _                      |  |
| Actions ta   | ken to resolve the issue  | Completion date   | Remedial action status |  |
| Intellihub to revisit the o installation.  | ne individual Category 2 metering   | August 2021   | Investigating          |  |
| This is currently being planned with the Retailer and ATH.   |   |   |                        |  |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur  |   | Completion date   |                        |  |
| Intellihub has instructed all ATH's working for the Intellihub MEP to conduct the register advance check as an integral element of the Raw Meter Data Output Test. |   | Completed   |                        |  |

| Interim Certification   |   |                     |                        |  |
|---|---|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| Non-compliance  | Description   |                     |                        |  |
| Audit Ref: 7.19   | 560 ICPs with expired interim certifica   | tion.               |                        |  |
| With: Clause 18 of  | Potential impact: High  |                     |                        |  |
| Schedule 10.7   | Actual impact: Medium   |                     |                        |  |
| From: 01-Apr-15   | Audit history: Multiple times   |                     |                        |  |
| To: 22-Apr-21   | Controls: Moderate  |                     |                        |  |
|   | Breach risk rating: 4   |                     |                        |  |
| Audit risk rating   | Rationale fo  | r audit risk rating |                        |  |
| Medium  | I have recorded the controls as moderate in this area because certification has been expired for several years for these ICPs.  |                     |                        |  |
|   | The impact on settlement is recorded as moderate because of the increased likelihood of failure or inaccuracy for metering installations with expired certification, therefore the audit risk rating is medium. |                     |                        |  |
| Actions ta  | ken to resolve the issue  | Completion date     | Remedial action status |  |
| These ICP's are a subset of the approx. 2800 expired ICP's identified in Section 7.19 above, and attempts will have already been made to recertify. As mentioned above, Intellihub has engaged closely with the relevant Traders to attempt to obtain access. |   | Ongoing             | Investigating          |  |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur   |   | Completion date     |                        |  |
| As above  |   | Ongoing             |                        |  |

| Electronic Interrogation of Metering Installations  |   |                     |                         |
|---|---|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Non-compliance  | Description   |                     |                         |
| Audit Ref: 10.5 With: Clause 8 of Schedule 10.6   | Some meters not read during the maximum interrogation cycle.  Potential impact: Low  Actual impact: Low |                     |                         |
| From: 01-Jun-20<br>To: 27-May-21  | Audit history: None Controls: Strong Breach risk rating: 1  |                     |                         |
| Audit risk rating   | Rationale fo  | r audit risk rating |                         |
| Low   | Strong controls are in place to change collected.   | _                   |                         |
|   | The impact on settlement and particip rating is low.  | ants is minor; the  | erefore, the audit risk |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue Completion Remedial action statu   |   |                     | Remedial action status  |
| On reviewing the 3 examples outlined above, all 3 were identified as having arisen prior to our new process for AMI interrogation failures coming into effect, as described in section 10.12, and on that basis the AMI flag was not updated within the timeframe required by the 1 Feb rule changes.  Certification for these ICP's have subsequently been cancelled.  |   | Completed           | Cleared                 |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur   |   | Completion date     |                         |
| Intellihub has created a weekly report that identifies meters that have not read within 60% of their MIC and still have the AMI Flag set to Yes. This is a "Safety Net" report which will identify meters that were not captured in the daily (25% or 30 days) AMI Flag process.  Intellihub will also run the full MIC (100% of MIC) report on a weekly basis to confirm there are no meters that have reached their full MIC with the AMI still set to No (which would require certification to be cancelled) |   | June 2021           |                         |

| Time Errors for Metering Installations   |   |                      |                         |  |
|--|---|----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Non-compliance   | Description   |                      |                         |  |
| Audit Ref: 10.7 With: Clause 8(4) of Schedule 10.6 From: 01-May-19 To: 31-Mar-20       | 80 examples of clock errors outside reports.  Potential impact: Medium  Actual impact: Low  Audit history: Twice  Controls: Strong  Breach risk rating: 1   | the allowable thresh | olds in the most recent |  |
| Audit risk rating  | Rationale for audit risk rating   |                      |                         |  |
| Low  | I have recorded the controls as strong because clocks are synchronised during every successful interrogation.  The impact is considered minor because most clock errors are small and are corrected within one half hour. The audit risk rating is low. |                      |                         |  |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue   |   | Completion date      | Remedial action status  |  |
| There are no further preventative actions identified that we can reasonably implement. |   | Completed            | Identified              |  |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur                      |   | Completion date      |                         |  |
| As per above.  |   | Completed            |                         |  |

| Comparison of HHR Data with Register Data   |  |                     |                        |  |
|---|--|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| Non-compliance  | Description  |                     |                        |  |
| Audit Ref: 10.9   | 1,886 meters failed sum-check prior to exemption coming into effect.   |                     |                        |  |
| With: Clause 8(9) of<br>Schedule 10.6   | Interrogation not successful within 25% of maximum interrogation cycle or 30 days for an unknown number of meters.   |                     |                        |  |
| From: 01-Jun-20   | Potential impact: Low  |                     |                        |  |
| To: 27-May-21   | Actual impact: Low   |                     |                        |  |
|   | Audit history: None  |                     |                        |  |
|   | Controls: Moderate   |                     |                        |  |
|   | Breach risk rating: 2  |                     |                        |  |
| Audit risk rating   | Rationale fo   | r audit risk rating |                        |  |
| Low   | The controls are recorded as moderate because they mitigate risk most of the time but there is room for improvement.   |                     |                        |  |
|   | The impact on settlement and participants is minor; therefore, the audit risk rating is low.   |                     |                        |  |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue  |  | Completion date     | Remedial action status |  |
| Intellihub has been granted an exemption to apply a 2-kWh sum check tolerance to some meters within its fleet. The exemption was granted after the 1 February Code changes came into effect, and sum check failures between 1- and 2-kWh occurred for a number of the related meters between 1 February and the date the exemption was granted. |  | December<br>2021    | Identified             |  |
| Intellihub Class B ATH to<br>any meter that is within<br>failed sum check betwee<br>and 5 May. The exemption  | ubsequent exemption that allows the perform back office recertification for the scope of the exemption and n 1 and 2kWh between 1 February on also sets out that Intellihub must ers where ICPs that they trade on are   |                     |                        |  |
| compliant with the 1 Feb insufficient time for this the rule changes being at them taking effect on 1 Failures for some meters business days. Intellihub will work with them to care  | y sum-check process in place that is bruary rule changes. There was change to be implemented between anounced 15 December 2020 and February 2021. As a result, sum check were not investigated within 3 will notify the affected Traders and erry out field activity for meter iffication to resolve this issue. |                     |                        |  |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur   |  | Completion date     |                        |  |

| Intellihub has implemented a fully compliant and audited daily sum-check process to manage sum check failures in | Completed |  |
|--|-----------|--|
| accordance with 1 February EA Code changes and the approved Code exemption.                                      |           |  |

| Investigation of AMI interrogation failures  |   |                 |                        |  |  |
|--|---|-----------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Non-compliance   | Description   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Audit Ref: 10.12 With: Clause 8(11), 8(12) and 8(13) of Schedule 10.6  | Reporting and processes not in place to resolve interrogation issues or change the AMI flag to "N" at 25% of the MIC or 30 days.  Potential impact: Low Actual impact: Low Audit history: None Controls: Strong Breach risk rating: 1 |                 |                        |  |  |
| From: 01-Feb-21<br>To: 20-May-21   |   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Audit risk rating  | Rationale for audit risk rating   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Low  | The controls are recorded as strong as reporting had been put in place at the time of the audit.  The impact on settlement and participants is minor; therefore, the audit risk rating is low.  |                 |                        |  |  |
|  |   |                 |                        |  |  |
| Actions taken to resolve the issue   |   | Completion date | Remedial action status |  |  |
| Code changes regarding AMI interrogation failures came into effect 1 February 2021. Intellihub had to carry out significant changes to our processes and systems to achieve compliance, and there was not sufficient time available to complete this work before the code changes came into effect. As a result, Intellihub was unable to manage AMI interrogation failures until our implementation of the change was complete. |   | Completed       | Cleared                |  |  |
| Preventative actions taken to ensure no further issues will occur  |   | Completion date |                        |  |  |
| Intellihub has now implemented a compliant daily process to manage AMI Flag updates in accordance with 1st February EA Code changes.   |   | Completed       |                        |  |  |