

# Improving visibility of competition in the over-the-counter contract market: clause 2.16 information notice

User:

Submitted: 19/05/2025 9:24:23 am

Reference: 8730a3fa-1dbb-434d-9ca1-b2e10160c44c

## Summary of information submitted

---

### Contact information

#### *Organisation*

Daiken Southland Limited

#### *Name*

Dean Cochrane

[Redacted contact information]

[Redacted contact information]

### Submission

#### *Introduction (optional)*

**Q1. Do you agree with the Authority's proposed approach of collecting data on OTC bids and offers, including those resulting in trades?**

yes

**Q2. Do you agree with the Authority's proposed approach of collecting information from large industrials through this clause 2.16 notice?**

yes

**Q3. Do you agree with the Authority's proposed approach of not collecting information from non-integrated generators through this clause 2.16 notice? Do you have any thoughts on alternative ways of collecting information on non-integrated generators requests and responses to those requests?**

**Q4. Do you have feedback on our approach regarding collection of information on PPAs?**

**Q5. Do you agree with the Authority's proposed approach of collecting data from all retailers, thus including small, micro, and community retailers?**

yes

**Q6. Do you agree with the Authority's proposed approach to collect data on requests made through energy brokers?**

yes

**Q7. Do you agree with the Authority's preference to restrict the data collection to written requests and requests made through brokers but to exclude text messages and phone calls?**

yes

**Q8. Do you agree with the Authority's proposed data collection from retailers and large industrials for requests larger than 0.1MW?**

yes

**Q9. Do you agree with the Authority's proposed approach to restrict the data collection to include only buy requests?**

yes

**Q10. Do you agree with our suggestion to collect information on the initial bids and final offers only? Or should we include a field to capture the number of negotiation steps?**

yes, negotiations field would be helpful

**Q11. Do you agree with the Authority's proposal to require quarterly provision of information?**

yes

**Q12. Do you have any comments on the changes to the proposed data fields and/or the proposed file structures?**

no

**Q13. Do you have any comments on the proposal to require participants to provide information that might be classified as confidential?**

must be kept confidential

**Q14. Do you agree with the Authority's proposal to publish aggregated information provided by the selected participants, and do you have any comments on how to best maintain confidentiality while providing as much transparency as possible?**

need more clarification

**Q15. Pursuant to clause 2.21 do you consider that any of the information we propose to collect is confidential? If so, please explain how it is confidential in line with clause 2.21**

With the organisations involved only

**Q16. Do you agree the benefits of the proposed clause 2.16 notice outweigh its costs? If not, what area(s) of the Authority's preliminary assessment of benefits and costs do you disagree with?**

unsure

**Q17. Do you agree the proposed clause 2.16 notice is preferable to the other options? If you disagree, please explain your preferred option with reference to the Authority's statutory objective in section 15 of Act.**

some benefit

**Q18. Should the Authority consider further work to monitor competition in the industry?**

yes

**Q19. Do you have any comments on the proposed data collection or about the notice in general?**

any improvement is vital

**Privacy (Please specify which question response require redaction prior to publication)**

***Does this submission contain sensitive information***

No

---