Appendix B Format for submissions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Submitter |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Questions | Comments |
| Q1. Do you agree with the Authority’s proposal to combine the proposed EIEP14A and EIEP14B? If not, why not? |  |
| Q2. Do you agree with the Authority’s proposal to introduce a unique plan identification code system for all retail electricity plans? If not, why not? |  |
| Q3. Do you have any suggestions for how the product identifier code system could be implemented? |  |
| Q4. How could product identifier codes be included on electricity bills such that they can be utilised by everyday consumers? |  |
| Q5. Do you agree with the Authority’s proposed staged approach to designing and implementing EIEP14s? If not, why not? |  |
| Q6. Do you agree with a Code amendment extending existing requirements on retailers in 11.32G to provide product information upon request? |  |
| Q7. Do you agree with the removal of the ability for retailers to charge for data requests where those requests are made in a format the retailer does not normally use in 11.32G? If not, why not? |  |
| Q8. Do you agree with a Code amendment to empower the Authority to prescribe an EIEP for the purposes of 11.32G? If not, why not? |  |
| Q9. Do you agree with a Code amendment requiring retailers to associate their retail electricity plans with product identifier codes? If not, why not? |  |
| Q10. If implemented, should the details of how the product identifier code system be established within the Code, or within guidance documents that the Authority would publish? |  |
| Q11. Do you agree with the Authority’s proposal to not amend timeframes for retailers to respond to requests at this time? If not, why not? |  |
| Q12. Do you agree with our refined proposed assessment criteria? |  |
| Q13. Do you agree with the Authority’s preliminary assessment that the proposal is better than the status quo? If not, why not? |  |
| Q14. Do you agree with the objectives of the proposed amendment? If not, why not? |  |
| Q15. Do you agree with the Authority’s preliminary assessment that the benefits outweigh the costs? If not, why not? |  |
| Q16. Do you agree that the proposal promotes the Authority’s statutory objectives? If not, why not? |  |