

## Appendix B Format for submissions

<b>Submitter</b>	Common Grace Aotearoa
------------------	-----------------------

<b>Questions</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Q1. Do you agree with the Authority's proposal to combine the proposed EIEP14A and EIEP14B? If not, why not?	
Q2. Do you agree with the Authority's proposal to introduce a unique plan identification code system for all retail electricity plans? If not, why not?	<p>Yes definitely.</p> <p>This has the potential to significantly improve price comparison websites for consumers, because it would make it very easy to identify current plan and compare with alternatives.</p> <p>Plan names are extremely confusing and names may stay the same even if plan details change.</p> <p>A unique identifier, especially if linked to ICP or street address in the back end of software (perhaps even in Registry?) would mean consumers never need to remember their plan name.</p>
Q3. Do you have any suggestions for how the product identifier code system could be implemented?	I'm not sure but perhaps could be recorded in Registry so that simply entering street address into a third party comparison tool automatically links to current plan.
Q4. How could product identifier codes be included on electricity bills such that they can be utilised by everyday consumers?	Could be picked up by auto-readers for use in price comparison sites.
Q5. Do you agree with the Authority's proposed staged approach to designing and implementing EIEP14s? If not, why not?	
Q6. Do you agree with a Code amendment extending existing requirements on retailers in 11.32G to provide product information upon request?	
Q7. Do you agree with the removal of the ability for retailers	

<p>to charge for data requests where those requests are made in a format the retailer does not normally use in 11.32G? If not, why not?</p>	
<p>Q8. Do you agree with a Code amendment to empower the Authority to prescribe an EIEP for the purposes of 11.32G? If not, why not?</p>	
<p>Q9. Do you agree with a Code amendment requiring retailers to associate their retail electricity plans with product identifier codes? If not, why not?</p>	Yes
<p>Q10. If implemented, should the details of how the product identifier code system be established within the Code, or within guidance documents that the Authority would publish?</p>	
<p>Q11. Do you agree with the Authority's proposal to not amend timeframes for retailers to respond to requests at this time? If not, why not?</p>	
<p>Q12. Do you agree with our refined proposed assessment criteria?</p>	
<p>Q13. Do you agree with the Authority's preliminary assessment that the proposal is better than the status quo? If not, why not?</p>	
<p>Q14. Do you agree with the objectives of the proposed amendment? If not, why not?</p>	
<p>Q15. Do you agree with the Authority's preliminary assessment that the benefits outweigh the costs? If not, why not?</p>	

Q16. Do you agree that the proposal promotes the Authority's statutory objectives? If not, why not?